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## MFA in Art Requirements
(60 Semester-hours Required)

### Art Concentration (24 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/8 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Art Histories (12 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/4 ARTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/4 ARTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4 ARTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4 ARTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Art Electives (12 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/4 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/4 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### General Elective (3 Semester-hours of 6000-level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1 Elective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Readings (3 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1 ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Thesis (6 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2 ART</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2 ART</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAEd in Art Education Requirements
(Option I: 33 Semester-hours; Option II 36 Semester-hours required)

Art Education (9 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART 6800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART 6801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART 6898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Education Core (6 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDUC 6001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPED 6002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Art (12 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Art Histories (3 Semester-hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option I (Requires a Thesis; 33 Semester-hours minimum for degree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Credit</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART 7000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART 7000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option II (Requires a Culminating Project; 36 Semester-hours minimum for degree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Number/Title</th>
<th>Term/Year</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MFA Sample Sequence

First Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester One (12 s.h.)</th>
<th>Semester Two (12 s.h.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART Concentration Course 1/8</td>
<td>ART Concentration Course 2/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Elective Course 1/4</td>
<td>ART Concentration Course 3/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART 6000 Readings or Approved Substitution 1/1</td>
<td>ARTH Art History Course 2/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTH Art History Course 1/4</td>
<td>ART Elective Course 2/4 or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ART 6990 Art and Design Pedagogy 1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*fulfills 18-ART-credit requirement for teaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Year Annual Review with Faculty Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester Three (9 s.h.)</th>
<th>Semester Four (12 s.h.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART Concentration Course 4/8</td>
<td>ART Concentration Course 6/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Concentration Course 5/8</td>
<td>ART Elective Course 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTH History Course 3/4</td>
<td>General Elective Course 1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARTH History Course 4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Year Annual Review with Faculty Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thesis Committee Established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-Thesis Plan Established</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Between Semester Four and Five

- Pre-Thesis with Thesis Chairperson

Third Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester Five (9 s.h.)</th>
<th>Semester Six (6 s.h. – last term, considered full-time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART Concentration Course 7/8</td>
<td>ART Concentration Course 8/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Elective Course 4/4</td>
<td>ART 7000 Thesis 2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART 7000 Thesis 1/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thesis Exhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thesis Artist Talk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thesis Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thesis Report Submission (VIREO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SoAD Graduate Courses

Art and Design
- ART 6000 - Readings in Art
- ART 6001 - Art and Design Seminar
- ART 6501-6504 - Glass I-IV
- ART 6900 - Art and Design Pedagogy

Art Education
- ART 6024 - MAT Internship
- ART 6800 - History and Philosophy of Art Education
- ART 6801 - Supervision of Art Education
- ART 6804 - Current Topics in Art Education
- ART 6805 - Interdisciplinary Topics in Visual Art Education
- ART 6898 - Research in Art Education

Art Histories
- ARTH 6908 - Research Methods in Art History
- ARTH 6909 - Seminar in Art History
- ARTH 6912 - African Art
- ARTH 6913 - Asian Art
- ARTH 6916 - Art of India
- ARTH 6920 - Nineteenth Century Painting
- ARTH 6925 - African American Art
- ARTH 6930 - Italian Renaissance Art: 1300-1500
- ARTH 6935 - Italian Baroque Art: 1600-1700
- ARTH 6940 - Twentieth-Century Modern Art: 1900-1950
- ARTH 6942 - Twentieth-Century Modern Art: 1950-2000
- ARTH 6944 - Studies in Contemporary Art: Post 1960s
- ARTH 6946 - Studies in Contemporary Art: Post 1980s
- ARTH 6948 - Art in the United States
- ARTH 6951 - History of Architecture
- ARTH 6952 - Byzantine Art and Architecture
- ARTH 6960 - Art and Power in Mesoamerica
- ARTH 6961 - Native North American Art and Ritual
- ARTH 6970 - History of Nineteenth- and Twentieth-Century Design
- ARTH 6980 - Ceramic History of North Carolina and the Southeastern United States

Ceramics
- ART 6100~6107 - Problems in Ceramics

Communication Arts
- ART 6200~6270 - Problems in Communication Arts

Drawing
- ART 6550~6552 - Problems in Drawing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary</td>
<td>ART 6070 - Visual Problems in Computer-aided Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ART 6500 - Independent Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ART 6510~6513, 6515 - Directed Graduate Field Study in Art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ART 6990 - Art and Design Pedagogy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ART 6991~6994 - Problems in Interdisciplinary Studies in Art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Design</td>
<td>ART 6301~6371 - Problems in Metal Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painting</td>
<td>ART 6560~6567 - Problems in Painting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photography</td>
<td>ART 6221~6228 - Problems in Photography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printmaking</td>
<td>ART 6600~6607 - Problems in Printmaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sculpture</td>
<td>ART 6700~6707 - Problems in Sculpture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile Design</td>
<td>ART 6305~6335 - Problems in Weaving and Fiber Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ART 6306~6336 - Problems in Fabric and Textile Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>ART 7000 - Thesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MFA Year-by-Year Overview

1. Year One: Exploration of Viable Topics
   a. Writing: Each Semester
      i. Artist (Problem) Statement [Why]
      ii. Project Statement [What]
      iii. Methodology and Influence [How]
   b. Research: Semester One
      i. Identify the topic
      ii. Fact gathering and research
      iii. Draft the preliminary presentation- content, structure, visual, etc.
   c. Research: Semester Two
      i. Write the 5-page paper for RCAW- work with the University Writing Center
      ii. Assemble the final presentation
      iii. Work with the Speech Communication Center at the CFAC School of Communication
   d. Creative Work: Each Semester
      i. Set goals for mid-term and final
      ii. Engage with students and faculty from diverse disciplines
      iii. Prepare for the 1st Year Annual Review

2. Year Two: Assessment of the Selected Topic
   a. Writing: Each Semester
      i. Artist (Problem) Statement [Why]
      ii. Project Statement [What]
      iii. Methodology and Influence [How]
   b. Research: Semester Three
      i. Re-evaluate the topic
      ii. Fact gathering and in-depth investigation
      iii. Draft the preliminary presentation- content, structure, visual, etc.
   c. Research: Semester Four
      i. Write the 5-page paper for RCAW- work with the University Writing Center
      ii. Assemble the final presentation
      iii. Work with the Speech Communication Center at the CFAC School of Communication
   d. Creative Work: Each Semester
      i. Set goals for mid-term and final
      ii. Engage with students and faculty from diverse discipline
      iii. Reflect on the progress and trajectory of work based on the selected topic
      iv. Prepare for the 2nd Year Annual Review
2. Year Two: Assessment of the Selected Topic (continued)

e. Pre-Thesis: Semester Four (upon successful completion of the 2nd Year Annual Review)
   i. Confirm the Thesis Topic
   ii. Identify the Thesis Chairperson- Graduate/Associate Graduate Faculty (GF/AGF) in the discipline
   iii. Form the Thesis Committee- minimum of three GF/AGF including the Chairperson. Teaching Graduate Faculty may be a 4th member of the committee
   iv. Submit Master’s Pre-Thesis Approval Form- Graduate Program Director

f. Pre-Thesis: Between Semester Four and Five (working with the Chairperson)
   i. Develop Thesis Proposal- Abstract and Research Samples
   ii. Draft a preliminary Thesis Outline consistent to the Thesis Proposal
   iii. Begin writing content essays on individual entries in the Thesis Outline

3. Year Three: Thesis

a. Semester Five
   i. 1st official meeting with the committee: thesis proposal and outline
   ii. Develop content essays and work example: combining individual essays into thesis document
   iii. Document writing- work with the University Writing Center
   iv. Intent to Exhibit form due
   v. Apply for Graduation
   vi. 2nd official meeting with the committee: first draft of the thesis document and review of the body of work

b. Semester Six
   i. 3rd official meeting with the committee: second draft of the thesis document and work
   ii. Thesis install
   iii. 4th official meeting with the committee: final draft of the thesis document and thesis exhibition
   iv. Artist Talk and Thesis Defense- work with the Speech Communication Center
   v. Thesis submission- Vireo
Q1.1. Student Name

Q1.2. Student Area of Study

Q1.3. Faculty Reviewer Name

Note. This survey contains five sections: S1 General Information, S2 Thesis Exhibition Evaluation, S3 Written Communication Evaluation, S4 Oral Presentation Evaluation, and S5 Technological Capabilities Evaluation. In the Evaluation sections, please select one of the following for each criterion: Below Expectations, Meets Expectations, or Exceeds Expectations. Thank you.

Q2.1. Creative Work Evaluation: Visual Communication

○ 1) Below Expectations - Little or no visual communication and represents a poor capstone exhibition. Little or no technical skill with materials and tools.

○ 2) Meets Expectations - Satisfactory visual communication which represents an average capstone exhibition. Competent technical skill with materials and tools.

○ 3) Exceeds Expectations - Exceptional visual communication which represents an individual and mature capstone exhibition. Superior technical skill with materials and tools.

Q2.2. Creative Work Evaluation: Critical Development

○ 1) Below Expectations - Little or no evidence of critical thinking, development of concepts, risk-taking and innovation. Poor evidence of a sustained line of inquiry with resulting work that demonstrates research, experimentation and problem-solving.

○ 2) Meets Expectations - Satisfactory evidence of critical thinking, development of concepts, risk-taking and innovation. Satisfactory evidence of a sustained line of inquiry with resulting work that demonstrates research, experimentation and problem-solving.

○ 3) Exceeds Expectations - Exceptional evidence of critical thinking, exploration of concept, risk-taking and innovation. Excellent evidence of a sustained line of inquiry with resulting work that demonstrates research, experimentation and problem solving.
Q2.3. Creative Work Evaluation: Content/Context

- 1) Below Expectations - Lack of contextualization of personal progress communicated through work.
- 2) Meets Expectations - Contextualization of personal progress communicated through satisfactory usage of visual narrative.
- 3) Exceeds Expectations - Contextualization of personal progress communicated through exceptional usage of visual narrative.

Q2.4. Creative Work Evaluation: Interdisciplinary

- 1) Below Expectations - Little or no evidence that information from multiple areas is being synthesized.
- 2) Meets Expectations - Satisfactory evidence that information from multiple areas is being synthesized within the work.
- 3) Exceeds Expectations - Exceptional evidence that information from multiple areas is being synthesized within the work that majorly contributes to the discipline.

Q2.5. Please provide comments if applicable.

Q3.1. Written Communication Evaluation: Context and Purpose of Writing

- 1) Below Expectations - Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience).
- 2) Meets Expectations - Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned task(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions).
- 3) Exceeds Expectations - Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.

Q3.2. Written Communication Evaluation: Content Development

- 1) Below Expectations - Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.
- 2) Meets Expectations - Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.
- 3) Exceeds Expectations - Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.

Q3.3. Written Communication Evaluation: Contemporary and Social Issues
Q3.4. Written Communication Evaluation: Building on Disciplinary Precedents

1) Below Expectations - Does not mention broader historical contexts and/or precedents. The student does not mention contemporaries in their discipline and does not situate their work within a contemporary context.

2) Meets Expectations - Briefly discusses broader historical contexts and/or precedents of their work. The student mentions some contemporaries in their discipline but does clearly situate their work within a contemporary context.

3) Exceeds Expectations - Clearly situates their work within the context of broader historical contexts and/or precedents. The student clearly positions their work within the context of contemporaries in their field.

Q3.5. Written Communication Evaluation: Sources and Evidence

1) Below Expectations - Lack of sources to support ideas in the writing.

2) Meets Expectations - Demonstrates some use of relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.

3) Exceeds Expectations - Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.

Q3.6. Written Communication Evaluation: Organization

1) Below Expectations - The essay lacks structure. The introduction is unclear about what to expect in the paper and does not articulate the main point/issue. It may begin with a set of rhetorical questions or an anecdote that is never fully explained. The conclusion does little more than restate the problematic introduction. Intro and/or conclusion may be too wordy or short.

2) Meets Expectations - The essay contains an intro, main body, and conclusion. The introduction lays out the main point/issue but gives the reader little idea of what to expect in the essay. The conclusion nicely summarizes the main argument and evidence but does not move beyond what has already been presented in the paper.

3) Exceeds Expectations - The essay contains an intro, main body, and conclusion. The introduction lays out main point/issue and gives an outline of what the reader can expect in the essay. The conclusion brings everything together, acknowledges potential shortcomings of the paper, and gives the reader a sense of what further work might be done to advance the subject matter described in the paper.

Q3.7. Written Communication Evaluation: Clarity and Style

1) Below Expectations - A few sentences are grammatically incorrect or not clearly written. Several words are misused. Technical terms, words from other languages, and words from other historical periods are rarely explained. Not all information is accurate and up-to-date. Paper has been spell-checked and proofread, but still contains several errors. Reader’s ability to understand essay may be compromised by these errors.

2) Meets Expectations - All sentences are grammatically correct and clearly written. An occasional word is misused or unnecessarily fancy. Technical terms, words from other languages, and words from other historical periods are
Q3.8. Written Communication Evaluation: Citations

1) Below Expectations - Some pieces are unreferenced or inaccurately referenced, and there are problems with completeness and format of citations. Bibliography and/or endnotes are not present.

2) Meets Expectations - All evidence is referenced in the bibliography or footnotes/endnotes, but there are some minor problems with completeness or format of some citations.

3) Exceeds Expectations - All evidence is properly referenced in the bibliography or footnotes/endnotes.

Q3.9. Please provide comments if applicable.

Q4.1. Oral Presentation Evaluation: Organization

1) Below Expectations - Poor or non-existent organization. Does not clearly introduce the purpose of the presentation. Transitions rarely connect points. The audience cannot understand the presentation because it lacks sequencing. The presentation is choppy and disjointed with no apparent logical order. Ends without a summary or conclusion.

2) Meets Expectations - Mostly organized. Introduces the purpose of the presentation. Includes some transitions to connect key points but there is a little bit of difficulty in following the presentation. The presentation jumps around. A couple of points are confusing. Ends with a summary or conclusion.

3) Exceeds Expectations - Well organized. Introduces the purpose of the presentation clearly. Effectively includes smooth transitions that are succinct but not choppy to connect key points. The Student presents information in a logical, interesting sequence that the audience can follow. Ends with a thoughtful conclusion.

Q4.2. Oral Presentation Evaluation: Depth and Accuracy

1) Below Expectations - No reference is made to research, influences, or theory. The work/process/concept is not clear. The information included that does not support the presentation. The presentation consistently is too elementary or too sophisticated for the audience.

2) Meets Expectations - Explanations of concepts, approaches, materials/techniques, and/or theories are inaccurate or incomplete. Little attempt is made to tie in outside influences, research, or theory. There is a great deal of information that is not connected to the work/process/concept presented. Combines existing ideas. Portions of the presentation are too elementary or too sophisticated for the audience.
3) Exceeds Expectations - Speaker provides an accurate and complete explanation of key materials/techniques, approaches, concepts and/or theories, drawing upon relevant sources and images. Applications of the theory are included to illuminate issues. Combines and evaluates existing ideas to form new insights. Information accurate; all names and facts were precise and explicit. The level of presentation is appropriate for the audience.

Q4.3. Oral Presentation Evaluation: Art and Design Context

1) Below Expectations - The presentation does not mention contemporaries in the student's discipline and does not clearly situate the student's work within a contemporary context. Presentation mentions broader historical contexts and/or precedents, but only briefly. Critical and theoretical terminology is used inconsistently and/or inaccurately. Theoretical jargon is explained within the context of the student's work (e.g. operationalized). Terminology is not connected to the student's work/position/ideas.

2) Meets Expectations - The presentation mentions some contemporaries in the students’ discipline but does situate the students’ work within a contemporary context. The presentation discusses broader historical contexts and/or precedents of the students’ work. Critical and theoretical terminology is introduced. Theoretical jargon is explained within the context of the students’ work (e.g. operationalized). Terminology is connected to the student's work/position/ideas.

3) Exceeds Expectations - The presentation positions the students' work by referencing contemporaries in the students’ field. The presentation clearly situates the students' work within the context of broader historical contexts and/or precedents. Critical and theoretical terminology is clearly articulated (defined) and used consistently in the presentation in support of the student's work/position/ideas.

Q4.4. Oral Presentation Evaluation: Research Effort

1) Below Expectations - Did not utilize resources effectively. Did little or no research using outside sources.

2) Meets Expectations - Some evidence of research and outside sources with mostly accurate source documentation/citation.

3) Exceeds Expectations - Extensive evidence of research and outside sources with mostly accurate source documentation/citation.

Q4.5. Please provide comments if applicable.

Q5.1. Technological Capabilities Evaluation: Creation

1) Below Expectations - Work does not demonstrate the inclusion of technological resources, the use of technology in the studio environments and experiences, or practice does not address the appropriate management of technology resources. No attention is given to the potential application in the area of specialization.

2) Meets Expectations - Work demonstrates the evaluation of technological resources, the effective use of technology in the studio environments and experiences, or the appropriate management of technology resources. Consideration is given to various applications in the area of specialization.
3) Exceeds Expectations - Work demonstrates careful evaluation of technological resources, the effective use of technology in the studio environments and experiences, and the appropriate management of technology resources. The integration of technology applies to the diverse needs of the area of specialization. Potential technology-related problems are anticipated, and a backup course of action is planned.

Q5.2. Technological Capabilities Evaluation: Dissemination

- 1) Below Expectations - Work does not show the capacity to use technology to communicate professionally as a means of dissemination.
- 2) Meets Expectations - Work is prepared and formatted in a technology-based platform to communicate professionally as a means of dissemination.
- 3) Exceeds Expectations - Work is developed and augmented, utilizing technology-based platforms to communicate professionally as a means of dissemination. The interaction component may be incorporated.

Q5.3. Technological Capabilities Evaluation: Documentation

- 1) Below Expectations - Work does not demonstrate the understanding of technology-based documentation methods.
- 2) Meets Expectations - Work is documented using appropriate technology. Documentation demonstrates the use of technology-based equipment and methods.
- 3) Exceeds Expectations - Work demonstrates exceptional use of technology-based documentation methods and equipment. Documentation competently and accurately captures the intended outcome of the work.

Q5.4. Technological Capabilities Evaluation: Preservation

- 1) Below Expectations - Little or no evidence that work is archived and organized using technology-based methods.
- 2) Meets Expectations - The archiving platform is defined, and the work information is organized and accessible using technology-based methods. Consideration is given to establishing professional web-presence beyond social media.
- 3) Exceeds Expectations - The archiving platform is defined and articulated, and the work information is effectively organized and accessible using technology-based methods, both physical and cloud formats used in professional organizations. Artist/Designer website accurately captures their core philosophy and creative output.

Q5.5. Please provide comments if applicable.

Scoring Results
### Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Score</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Mean of Items</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Standard Deviation of Items</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Location Data

**Location:** [35.589096069336, -77.345001220703]

**Source:** GeoIP Estimation
Master’s Pre-Thesis Research Approval Form

Before beginning master’s thesis research, and at least one semester before defending a thesis, this check list must be completed by the master’s candidate in conjunction with the thesis director. Please NOTE: Student is required to provide a copy to all committee members; all thesis research must be approved by the thesis director and the Unit Graduate Program Director. All students whose thesis projects involve human subjects must have their proposed research approved by the University and Medical Center Institutional Review Board (UMCIRB) before beginning the studies involving those subjects. Likewise, all students whose projects involve animals must have their proposed research approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) before beginning those studies. A copy of the appropriate approval must be included in the Appendix of the completed thesis. The Graduate Program Director completes and submits this form to Marquerite Latham (bassm@ecu.edu).
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